



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,749	05/10/2005	Lawrence Allan Lynn		7983

7590 02/21/2008
Sleep and Breathing Research Institute
Suite 10
1275 Olentangy RR
Columbus, OH 43212

EXAMINER

MEHTA, BHISMA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3767

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/21/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/533,749

Applicant(s)

LYNN, LAWRENCE ALLAN

Examiner

BHISMA MEHTA

Art Unit

3767

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BHISMA MEHTA. (3) _____.

(2) Lawrence Lynn. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: The web-site, www.lyntekmedical.com, was used to show the operation of the catheter-flushing system.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ash (U.S. 6,958,049).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Language to overcome the prior art of Ash was discussed. It was suggested to Mr. Lynn that amending the apparatus claims to include more than one or a plurality of volume reducers may overcome the prior art of Ash. As to claim 32, it was indicated to Mr. Lynn that arguments pertaining to the first, second, and third residual volumes may overcome the prior art of Ash.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bhisma Mehta/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required